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SECTION 'A'

SUMMARY

Overview of assistance sought by and/or given to Central and Eastern European States by European Union Member States.
INTRODUCTION.

1. In an endeavour to establish the position in respect of assistance provided by EU Member States to Central and Eastern European Countries, information was sought from each Member State.

2. This document provides a summary of the main findings from the information received.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION.

3. On the 6th July, 1995, a questionnaire as per EU/JAI NR 14/046/95 was sent from Ireland to each European Union Member States.

4. The information contained in this Summary Document was gleaned from the returned questionnaires.

REPLIES TO QUESTIONNAIRE.

5. The questionnaire comprised of six individual items, some of a specific nature and some of a general nature.

6. This Summary Document outlines the salient points and endeavours to give an overall position in respect of the levels of co-operation between E.U. States and Central and Eastern European Countries, as best as can be established from the data supplied.

7. Copy of the Questionnaire is included in Section 'B'.
EXTENT OF ASSISTANCE REQUESTED / PROVIDED.

8. A total of nineteen (19) Central or Eastern European States either requested and/or received assistance from EU Member States.

9. All EU Members provided assistance when requested.
   
   Spain, Luxembourg and Portugal did not receive any such requests.

10. Table included at Section ‘C’ indicates the States providing and receiving such assistance.

TYPES OF ASSISTANCE/CO-OPERATION.

11. For the most part, the assistance/cooperation supplied was confined to matters relating to training and information exchange. In some few cases, assistance in the form of materials and equipment was supplied.

12. Training was provided in a number of ways, including:
   
   • Seminars, either in EU or Central/Eastern European Country;
   • Visits by police staff from EU Member States to the receiving Country;
   • Visits by police staff from the receiving Country to EU Member States;
   • Study/Education Exchange Programmes:
13. *Training* was provided covering many areas of policing methods and techniques, including:

- Airport/Border security;
- bomb disposal;
- Border/Allen control;
- community/race relations;
- criminal investigation techniques;
- driver training;
- drug enforcement/addiction;
- ethics;
- financial crime/fraud investigation;
- fingerprinting;
- human resource management;
- instructor training;
- language training;
- management techniques;
- migrants;
- money laundering;
- organised crime;
- psychology training;
- public safety;
- security and ballistics issues;
- sexual offences and child abuse;
- special situation training;
- technical assistance - telecommunications and computerisation;
- traffic policing;
- youth justice;

14. *Material/equipment* assistance was provided in a number of cases. The type of assistance under this heading included:

- clothing;
- footwear;
- furniture;
- photographic equipment;
- technical equipment, including specially equipped scenes of crime examination vehicle;
- telecommunications equipment;
- vehicles;
15. **Other types** of assistance/co-operation was reported in a number of cases, which are mainly related to the geographical locations of the Countries involved. These projects include:

- **establishment of the Nordic Baltic Police Academy** - the Nordic States (Denmark, Sweden, Finland, Norway and Iceland) in conjunction with the Baltic States (Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia) have decided to establish a Nordic Baltic Police Academy which would support training of Police Forces in the Baltic Countries.

- **Nordic / Baltic liaison** - the Nordic States engage in close cooperation with the Baltic States, with each Nordic States concentrating assistance with one specific Baltic State.

- **Bund-Länder Clearingstelle (BLC)** - this was established in 1993 to create proper coordination between the Bund and the smaller States in Germany in the provision of assistance to M.O.E. Countries (Middle and Eastern).

- **United Nations Drug Control Unit (UNDCP)** - in existence since 1993 and is limited to tackling drug related and international crime in the M.O.E. States.

- **establishment of a Data Transmission connection** between Rome, Italy and Ankara, Turkey, is envisaged.

- **other Bi-Lateral arrangements** exist between various countries, who share common borders or specific crime related problems.

---

**ECONOMIC COSTS.**

16. Part of the questionnaire dealt specifically with the economic cost in the provision of the assistance/cooperation.

Not all countries responded in sufficient detail to this aspect of the questionnaire and therefore, overall estimated costs to the E.U. as a whole could not be satisfactorily established.
**COOPERATION BETWEEN E.U. MEMBER STATES.**

17. Some EU Member States indicated that they participated in assistance/cooperation programmes, jointly with other EU Member States. However, replies under this heading were not sufficiently detailed to give a general overview.

**CONTRIBUTING STATES.**

18. Some EU Member States assisted a number of Central or Eastern European Countries, namely:

- United Kingdom - assisted 14 countries.
- France - assisted 12 countries;
- Sweden - assisted 9 countries;
- Denmark - assisted 8 countries;
- Austria - assisted 7 countries;
- Greece - assisted 6 countries;
- Belgium - assisted 5 countries;
- Netherlands - assisted 5 countries;

19. The remaining EU Member States confined their assistance to a smaller number of countries, namely:

- Germany - assisted 4 countries;
- Finland - assisted 3 countries;
- Italy - assisted 2 countries;
- Ireland - assisted 2 country;
- Luxembourg - assisted no (0) countries. (Not requested);
- Spain - assisted no (0) countries, (Not requested);
- Portugal - assisted no (0) countries. (Not requested)
RECEIVING STATES.

20. Some Central and Eastern European States received assistance from a number of EU Member States, namely:

- Hungary - from 10 EU Member States;
- Poland - from 8 EU Member States;
- Romania - from 7 EU Member States;
- Estonia - from 6 EU Member States;
- Lithuania - from 6 EU Member States;
- Czech Republic - from 6 EU Member States;
- Russia - from 6 EU Member States;
- Albania - from 5 EU Member States;
- Latvia - from 5 EU Member States;
- Bulgaria - from 4 EU Member States;

21. The remaining Central and Eastern European States who received assistance, did so from a smaller number, namely:

- Belarus - from 3 EU Member States;
- Slovakia - from 3 EU Member States;
- Macedonia - from 2 EU Member States;
- Armenia - from 1 EU Member States;
- Azerbaijan - from 1 EU Member States;
- Bratislava - from 1 EU Member States;
- Croatia - from 1 EU Member States;
- Slovenia - from 1 EU Member States;
- Turkey - from 1 EU Member States;
- Ukraine - from 1 EU Member States;
CONCLUSIONS.

22. It is apparent that EU Member States are willing to provide assistance and engage in cooperation with Central and Eastern European States.

23. The types of assistance given is confined mainly to training related matters and provision of materials and equipment.

The training assistance given appears to be consistent throughout and covers the full spectrum of police management, ethics, methods and operations.

Materials and equipment supplied is almost exclusively confined to technical areas, with the exception of clothing, footwear and furniture.

24. It is apparent, however, that a need exists to coordinate all aspects of assistance provided and adopt a more consistent approach throughout the EU.

Obviously some EU Member States are better equipped to provide assistance, while others are better placed geographically, however, a more uniform approach should be adopted.
SECTION ‘B’

Questionnaire

EU/JAI NR 14/046/95
QUESTIONNAIRE RELATING TO ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO
THE POLICE SERVICES OF CENTRAL AND EASTERN
EUROPEAN STATES.

1. Please give a brief account of any requests for assistance which your country has received from Central and Eastern European States. In particular, information on the following is requested:

1.1 Which countries have made a request?
1.2 Date of request received?
1.3 What authorities have requested assistance?
1.4 Nature of assistance requested?
   a) equipment?
   b) training; if required, what kind of training?
   c) economic support?
   d) any other kind of assistance?

Please detail your country's response to approaches from Central and Eastern European States. Information on the following is requested in particular:

2.1 Have requests for assistance been fully met?
2.2 Have requests for assistance been partially met?
2.3 Have requests for assistance been rejected?

Please estimate the economic cost to your country of having met requests for assistance.
4. Has your country co-operated with other E.U. Member States in relation to the processing of requests for assistance?

5. Has your country seen examples of the same Central or Eastern European State making requests to more than one Member State for the same kind of assistance?

   In that case, information of the following is requested:

   5.1 Which Central or Eastern European States have made requests to more than one Member State for the same kind of assistance?

   5.2 Which Member States have received such requests?

   5.3 Which kinds of assistance have been requested?

   5.4 Have requests been met by both/all Member States?

6. Name of Contact Point/Person who will be in a position to provide further information or assistance.
Table

States providing and receiving assistance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUS</th>
<th>BEL</th>
<th>DEN</th>
<th>FIN</th>
<th>FRA</th>
<th>GER</th>
<th>GRE</th>
<th>IRL</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>LUX</th>
<th>NETH</th>
<th>POR</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>SWE</th>
<th>UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COUNTRY SUMMARY

AUSTRIA

a) Austria has either assisted or has co-operation agreements with seven (7) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

   Bulgaria; Czech Republic; Hungary; Poland; Russia; Slovakia and Ukraine.

b) Regular contact is maintained with the above Countries and mainly involves exchange of ideas and experiences and exchange of study programmes.

c) Study Programmes (Seminars) were have been held both in Austria and in some of the receiving Countries on various topics including: organised crime; trade in human beings; drug addiction and financial fraud.

d) Full reply received from Austria is included in Section ‘E’.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

Belgium

a) Belgium has assisted five (5) Central and Eastern European Countries, namely:

   Croatia; Hungary; Macedonia; Romania and Russia.

b) Assistance has been provided by way of bi-lateral arrangements and in the areas of general co-operation and exchange of information concerning the organisation of policing.

c) Full reply received from Belgium is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

DENMARK

a) Denmark has assisted eight (8) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

   Lithuania; Latvia; Hungary; Russia; Estonia; Poland; Romania and Belorussia.

b) Denmark is 'paired' with Lithuania and concentrates for the most part on providing assistance to it.

c) Requests for assistance from the above Countries have been responded to by Denmark, not fully in all cases but no request was rejected totally.

d) Denmark and the Nordic Countries engage in close cooperation with the Baltic States, with each 'paired' with a specific Baltic Country with whom it assists primarily.

e) A Lithuanian specialist teacher from the Lithuanian Police School was accommodated on a study visit to the National Commissioner's Police School, Denmark.

f) Denmark in conjunction with other Nordic Countries have decided to establish a Nordic-Baltic Police Academy to assist and support Baltic Countries in the training of their Police Forces.

g) A Nordic Liaison Officer, stationed in Vilnius, Lithuania and covering all three Baltic States has/will be established in an effort to combat crime.

h) Denmark has provided training in the area of border/alien controls in the Baltic Countries.

i) Denmark in conjunction with the Netherlands is endeavouring to organise joint seminars for the Lithuanian Police.

j) Full reply received from Denmark is included in Section E.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

FINLAND

a) Finland has assisted three (3) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

   Romania; Latvia and Estonia.

b) Assistance has been provided in the areas of training and material/equipment.

c) Training courses have been held covering the topics of: criminal investigations; drug enforcement; police tactics and psychology; special situations; crime scene examination; police customs and border control and financial crime investigations.

d) Material/equipment assistance has covered a broad range including furniture, crime scene technical equipment, including a specially equipped vehicle and computer systems.

e) Funds have been allocated to a research project titled 'Eastern Criminality, which, it is expected will be carried out in conjunction with Russian authorities.

f) Finland is involved, along with Sweden and Denmark, in the Nordic-Baltic Police Academy.

g) Full reply received from Finland is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

FRANCE

a) France has assisted twelve (12) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania; Armenia; Bulgaria; Czech Republic; Estonia; Hungary; Latvia; Lithuania; Poland; Romania; Russia and Slovakia.

b) Assistance has been provided, primarily in the areas of operational and technical co-operation by way of Bilateral institutional arrangements.

c) The topics covered include: drugs; serious crime; migrants; airport security; public order and human resource management.

d) French authorities are satisfied that all requests received have been satisfied fully.

e) In monetary terms, the estimated cost of providing this assistance is almost 7m Francs.

f) Full reply received from France is included in Section 'E'.

a) Germany has assisted four (4) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania; Bulgaria; Macedonia and Poland.

b) Assistance has been provided in the areas of educational methods and material help by way of Bi-lateral institutional arrangements.

c) Germany authorities formed the Bund-Länder Clearingstelle (BLC) in 1993 to create proper coordination between the Bund and the smaller States in Germany in the provision of assistance to M.O.E. Countries (Middle and Eastern).

d) Germany is also involved with the United Nations Drug Control Unit and close contact exists between this group, Germany and Sweden in the Baltic areas.

e) Full reply received from Germany is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

GREECE

a) Greece has either assisted or has co-operation agreements with six (6) Central and Eastern European States, namely: Albania; Bulgaria; Hungary; Lithuania; Poland and Romania.

b) Assistance has been provided in the areas of training, to assist in the formulation of drug legislation and seminars and material/equipment help by way of Bi-lateral institutional arrangements.

c) Material/equipment assistance included provision of telecommunications, radio and photographic equipment; vehicles; clothing and footwear.

d) Full reply received from Greece is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

IRELAND

a) Ireland has assisted two (2) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

   Russia and Hungary.

b) Assistance has been provided, in the case of Russia, by a visit of three senior officials from the State Traffic Inspectorate to Ireland in 1995 and in the case of Hungary by way of Study/Educational exchange programmes.

c) Ireland has signed a Memorandum of Understanding with Hungarian authorities, which expires in 1995 but is expected to be extended for a further two year period.

d) A total of nine exchanges took place during 1994/1995 covering many topics, including:- English language programmes; security and ballistics issues; organised crime; bomb disposal procedures; fingerprinting; information technology; public safety and trends in international crime.

e) Full reply received from Ireland is included in Section 'E'.

COUNTRY SUMMARY

ITALY

a) Italy has assisted two (2) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania and Turkey.

b) Assistance has been provided in the form of developing a computerised system for Albania; a telecommunications network for the Albanian Police Forces and intelligence telematic network among countries involved in the fight against drug trafficking.

c) It is envisaged that a Data Transmission connection will be established between Rome and Ankara, Turkey.

d) Full reply received from Italy is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

LUXEMBOURG

a) Luxembourg authorities have not received any requests from Central or Eastern European Countries for assistance and therefore none was supplied.

b) However, in April, 1994, an officer from Luxembourg spent one week in Mostar, former Yugoslavia, to advise on the restructuring of local Police Forces.

c) Full reply received from Luxembourg is included in Section ‘E’.
a) The United Kingdom has assisted fourteen (14) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania; Azerbaijan; Belorussia; Bulgaria; Czech Republic; Estonia; Hungary; Latvia; Lithuania; Poland; Romania; Russia; Slovakia and Slovenia.

b) Assistance has been provided primarily in the area of training and covering a huge variety of topics, including:

- personnel/management systems;
- crime prevention and policing methods;
- English language training;
- organised crime;
- money laundering;
- traffic management;
- public order;
- community and race relations;
- youth justice;
- child abuse and sexual offences;
- police driver training;
- instructor training and community policing.

c) Full reply received from the United Kingdom is included in Section ‘E’.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

SPAIN

a) Spain has not received any requests from Central or Eastern European Countries for assistance and therefore none was supplied.

b) Full reply received from Spain is included in Section ‘E’.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

PORTUGAL

a) Portugal has not received any requests from Central or Eastern European Countries for assistance and therefore none was supplied.

b) Full reply received from Portugal is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

NETHERLANDS

a) Netherlands has assisted five (5) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Czech Republic; Estonia; Hungary; Lithuania and Poland.

b) General assistance in the area of building a police force in a democratic state and specific matters in police training was provided to Estonia and Lithuania.

c) The Board of Chief Constables initiated Police Partnership Programmes with Hungary; Czech Republic and Poland. A total of thirty three (33) such Programmes, in addition to five (5) expert Conferences, have been established.

d) A Police Partnership Programme is a structural two or three year cooperation project between regional police forces or police schools. Within such frameworks almost any area of policing can be covered, including: exchange of best practices and experience; exchange of personnel; language training; specialist projects; management and other training topics.

e) Full reply received from the Netherlands is included in Section 'E'.
COUNTRY SUMMARY

SWEDEN

a) Sweden has assisted nine (9) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania; Belorussia; Czech Republic (Czechoslovakia); Estonia; Lithuania; Latvia; Hungary; Poland and Romania.

b) Assistance is provided by way of Bilateral arrangements and covers most policing matters, including:- basic police service; forensic science; ethics; investigation techniques; management and traffic police duties.

c) Assistance is negotiated between the Swedish National Police Board and the respectively high authorities of the receiving countries.

d) Requests are seldom fully met and some are totally rejected.

e) Sweden also participates in programmes in conjunction with Germany, Finland, Denmark and the UNDCP.

f) Swedish authorities are of the view that there is some duplication in the provision of assistance to Central and Eastern European Countries, as some seek similar assistance from a number of EU Member States.

g) Full reply received from Sweden is included in Section E.
a) The United Kingdom has assisted fourteen (14) Central and Eastern European States, namely:

Albania; Azerbaijan; Belorussia; Bulgaria; Czech Republic; Estonia; Hungary; Latvia; Lithuania; Poland; Romania; Russia; Slovakia and Slovenia.

b) Assistance has been provided primarily in the area of training and covering a huge variety of topics, including:

- personnel/management systems;
- crime prevention and policing methods;
- English language training;
- organised crime;
- money laundering;
- traffic management;
- public order;
- community and race relations;
- youth justice;
- child abuse and sexual offences;
- police driver training;
- instructor training and community policing.

c) Full reply received from the United Kingdom is included in Section 'E'.
Replies received from European Union Member States.
AUSTRIA
EUROPÄISCHE UNION
DER RAT


8733/94

RESEPTREINT
ENFOPOL 91

VERMERK
der dänischen Delegation


für die Gruppe "Polizeiliche Zusammenarbeit"

Nr. Vorluktment: 7306/84 ENFOPOL 73

Bem.: Neuer Fragebogen zur polizeilichen Zusammenarbeit mit den osteuropäischen Ländern

Mit Dokument 5130/94 ENFOPOL 28 hat die dänische Delegation der Gruppe "Polizeiliche Zusammenarbeit" einen Bericht über die polizeiliche Zusammenarbeit mit den osteuropäischen Ländern vorgelegt.


In dieser Sitzung hat unter anderem die Kommission erübrt, daß einige wesentliche Angaben nicht in dem Bericht enthalten seien.

Da es sich teilweise auch um neue Fragen handelt, wird, um ihre Beantwortung geboten, auch wenn gegenüber den früheren Anworten keine neuen Angaben vorliegen.


Betreff: Europäische Union; hier: AD polizeiliche Zusammenarbeit; Sitzung vom 20.1.1995 in Brüssel; TOP 5 - Zusammenarbeit der ADL; Mitteilung der österreichischen Delegation

Subject: EXFOPOL 91 (seinerzeitiger Fragebogen)

TELEFAX An

SOL France
SOL Dänemark


Nach dem Zusammenbruch des Kommunismus blieben die Vereinbarungen weiterhin in Kraft; bzw. wurden mit Nachfolgestaaten neue Vereinbarungen getroffen. Es wurden dann in Folge des Fragebogens (EXFOPOL 91) an Österreich keine "Ersuchen" um Amtshilfe gestellt, obwohl die Kontakte in Form von Erfahrungsaustauschen und Studienaufenthalten (1 bis 2 Wochen) in den verschiedenen Bereichen nach dem Zusammenbruch des Kommunismus schlagartig intensiviert wurden.
Eine komplette Auflistung des mannigfachen Erfahrungsaustausches und der gegenseitigen Studienaufenthalte ist mangels einer zentralen Evidenz nicht möglich. Ebenso können hinsichtlich der Kosten keine Aussagen getroffen werden.

Nachfolgend werden die wichtigsten Bemerkungen aufgelistet:

Organisierte Kriminalität:

- April 1993: ukrainische Beamte in Wien
- Juni 1993 in Budapest: Informationsaustausch betreffend organisierte Kriminalität und KFZ-Verschleierung
- September 1993: 3 österreichische Beamte in Kiew
- November 1993: 3 russische Beamte in Ulan
- Februar 1994: 3 russische Beamte in Wien
- März 1994 in Bratislava (Slowakei): Informationsaustausch zum Thema Menschenhandel
- April 1994 in Ungarn: organisierte Kriminalität - TERPOL
- September 1994 in der Slowakei: organisierte Kriminalität - TERPOL
- September 1994 in Bratislava: Zusammenarbeit bei der Bekämpfung der organisierten Kriminalität
- September 1994 in Ungarn: Zusammenarbeit bei der Bekämpfung der organisierten Kriminalität (KFZ-Diebstahl und KFZ-Verschleierung)
- September 1994: 2 österreichische Beamte in Moskau
- November 1994: 4 österreichische Beamte in Moskau
- Dezember 1994: 4 österreichische Beamte in Bratislava

Suchgift:

MÖSSA (Außland):

13. bis 22.9.1990 - Studienbesuch einer österreichischen Delegation zwecks Studium der praktischen Maßnahmen zur Bekämpfung des illegalen Suchtgifthandels in der UdSSR.


15. bis 24.7.1991 - Entsendung einer österreichischen Delegation zur Teilnahme an einem internationalen Seminar über die Probleme der Bekämpfung der Drogenherstellung auf pflanzlicher Rohstoffbasis.

1. bis 5.5.1992 - Studienaufenthalt einer russischen Delegation in Österreich zum Kennenlernen praktischer Aspekte der polizeilichen Suchtgiftbekämpfung.


Ukraine:

3. bis 7.5.1993 - Vortragsaufenthalt einer österreichischen Delegation über die polizeiliche Bekämpfung der Suchtgiftrriminalität.


Seminare "Internationaler Finanzbetrug":

13. bis 22.11.1991 in Prag
7. bis 11.2.1994 in Prag
13. bis 19.2.1993 in Bratislava
5. bis 8.7.1993 in Sofia
8. bis 12.11.1993 in Bukarest
11. bis 15.4.1994 in Kiew

Seminar KFZ-Verschlebung:

Sommer 1994: Woche in Kiew, 4 österreichische Beamte.

Kriminaltechnik (siehe extra Beilage)

Wien, am 4.4.1995

Beilage
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zeit</th>
<th>Anzahl d. Personen</th>
<th>Studienaufenthalt bzw. Seminar der Teilnehmer aus der:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.3.- 6.3.90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CSSR/Prag, Kriminalstalt der öffentlichen Sicherheit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.10.- 10.10.90</td>
<td>1 Leiter + 3 Mitarbeiter</td>
<td>UdSSR/Moskau, Allgemeinwissenschaftliches Institut des MDND auf Grund eines Arbeitsvertrages zwischen Österreich und der UdSSR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3.- 15.3.91</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>KTO/Wien in Moskau - Kriminalistisches Institut.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5.- 15.5.91</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>KTO/Wien in Prag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.11.- 15.11.91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ungarn, Sachverständige des gesellschaftlichen Institutes Budapest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.10.- 22.10.92</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Slowakei, Mitarbeiter des Kriminaltechnischen Institutes Bratislava, Slowakei.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.11.- 4.12.92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Beamt der russischen Föderation in Wien (Rechtstaatlichkeit der UdSSR).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.- 13.5.93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>KTO - Urkundenspezial in Prag beim Kriminaltechnischen Institut.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10.- 6.10.93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>KTO Arbeitsgespräch in Prag über Bekämpfung internationaler KTO-Verschleppung.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6.94</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>KTO in Bratislava/Slowakei, Arbeitsgespräch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6.- 11.6.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>KTO in Kiew/Ukraine - Über internationale KTO-Verschleppung.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>KTO in Bratislava/Slowakei, Arbeitsgespräch.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DE : BDL - BELGIQUE
A : BDL - IRLANDE

DATE : 13-09-1985
GROUPE : logistique
URGENCE : IMMEDIATE

CLASSIFICATION : CONFIDENTIEL
NUMERO : JAI 02/ 006039

Nombre de pages (feuille de garde comprise) : 3.

OBJET : Questionnaire en Appui d'une étude en CTM et CCM et central pour toutes Europe 360.


PRECISIONS EVENTUELLES :
Veuillez trouver le器材手册已送至上述

Numéro de fax du BDL belge : DELETED
Numéro de téléphone du BDL belge : DELETED
Bruxelles, le 12 septembre 1995

OBJET : Relation avec les PECO

Réponses aux questions posées dans le Doc repris en Référence.
1-1.1 CROATIE
THEREQUIE
RUSSIE
HONGRIE
Ex république yougoslave de MACEDONIE
ROUMANIE
1-1.2 sans objet
1-1.3 cadre coopération policière
1-1.4 Croatie Coopération policière
Tchèque et Russie Echange d'information sur le régime des corps de police
Roumanie Visite des Polices par le Ministre de l'Intérieur Roumain
Ex république yougoslave de Macédoine
Hongrie       Projet d'accords bilatéraux

2-2.1 Tout est objectivement mis en œuvre pour répondre à chaque demande.
2-2.2 sans objet
2-2.3 sans objet

3- sans objet

4- Autriche

5- sans objet

6- SGAP/CPI
Service Général d'Appui Policier / Coopération Policière Internationale

BELGIQUE
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DENMARK
From: Danish Delegation

To: EUL Ireland

Subject: Reply to the questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the police services of Central and Eastern European states EU/JAI NR 14/045/95.

Re questions 1, 2 and 3:

Lithuania has made a request for a study visit to the National Commissioner's police school and college of a duration of two months for a specialist teacher from the Lithuanian police school.

The request has been granted, and the study visit will take place in the autumn of 1995.

Calculations as to the expenses in connection with the study visit are not available.

Re question 4:

On the initiative of the National Commissioners of the Nordic countries (Sweden, Norway, Finland, Iceland, and Denmark) - and in co-operation with the chiefs of police in Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania - it has been decided to establish a Nordic-Baltic police academy as a joint Nordic training project which - through courses organized and carried out by Nordic teachers - is designed to support the police in the Baltic countries in the training of their police forces.
Furthermore - as part of the increased co-operation, especially concerning the combating of crime - it has been decided that a Nordic liaison officer is to be stationed in Vilnius, Lithuania, in the course of the autumn of 1995. This liaison officer will also be accredited Estonia and Latvia and thus cover the three Baltic countries.

Re question 5.

No.

Re question 6.

Where National Commissioner's Communication Centre

DELETED

Telephone no. DELETED

Furthermore, please be informed that the National Commissioner in co-operation with i.a. the Ministry of the Interior and the competent authorities in the Baltic countries has put into effect a number of initiatives (e.g. courses in Denmark and visits of Danish experts to the Baltic countries) for the purpose of improving and strengthening the training of the border control authorities and the aliens' authorities of the Baltic countries.
FINLAND
From: BDL Finland
To: BDL IRELAND
Date: 1.9.95
No: RTP 7989/900/95/T1/KK
Classification: Confidential
Precedence: Routine
Previous reference: EU/JAI 14/046/95
Please reply by:
Working group/level:
Subject: Questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the police services of Central and Eastern European States
Notes: Please enclosed find the letter of the Ministry of the Interior, Police Department, Finland of 1st September 1995
Total number of pages: 4

If you have problems with this faximile please contact:
Fax: 
Phone: 

DELETED
MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
Police Department

Subject: Questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the police services of Central and Eastern European States

Reference is made to the mentioned questionnaire. For the Finnish answer please see the annexed document.

Inspector General of the Police

Chief Superintendent
FINLAND'S ANSWER TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE RELATING TO ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO THE POLICE SERVICES OF CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN STATES

1. Please give a brief account of any requests for assistance which your country has received from Central and Eastern European States. In particular, information on the following is requested:

1.1. Which countries have made a request?

The Finnish police has not received any new requests of assistance since our answers to the latest questionnaires of August 11, 1994 (ENFOPOL 91, 873/94) and April 12, 1995 (ENFOPOL 11, 4202/95).

1.2. Date of request received?

See answer above.

1.3. What authorities have requested assistance?

See answer above.

1.4. Nature of assistance requested?

See answer above.

2. Please detail your country's response to approaches from Central and Eastern European States.

See answer above.

3. Please estimate the economic cost to your country of having met requests for assistance.

The following funds has been appropriated by the Finnish Ministry of Foreign Affairs for assistance to the Estonian police:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>50,000 FIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>400,000 FIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1,000,000 FIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1,500,000 FIM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 1994 100,000 FIM were furthermore appropriated by the Finnish Ministry of the Interior to the Estonian police.

In 1995 100,000 FIM has also been appropriated for assistance to the Latvian police.

4. Has your country co-operated with other EU Member States in relation to the processing of requests for assistance?

Co-operation is done with Sweden and Denmark. The project is named "The Nordic Baltic Police Academy" (see answer to ENFOPOL 11, 4202/95).

5. Has your country seen examples of the same Central or Eastern European State making requests to more than one Member State for the same kind of assistance?

See above answer.

If you need further information please contact...
DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

Date: 31.8.1995

Number of pages: 1

Sender: Development unit/Chief Superintendent Parvo Selin

Addressed: DELETED

Message: You called me today for the questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the Police Services of Central and Eastern European States.

I promised to send you information as soon as I can. Here are the results: The Reply is in course of preparation by Chief Superintendent DELETED. I told him about our discussion and he promised to hurry on the paper. So I suppose you will get the answer by tomorrow. He will send the fax to the fax number which was taped to the questionnaire.

Tel Nr. to DELETED

Yours sincerely, DELETED

P.O. BOX 257
FIN-00171 Helsinki, FINLAND

PDELETED

FAX

12421/95

WF/af

EN
TELEFAX

Date: 11 August, 1994
Number of pages: 4

From:
Ministry of the Interior
Police Department

Address: Kirkkokatu 12
SF-00170 Helsinki
FINLAND

To:
Danish Bureau de Liaison

Subject: Police Co-operation with the Countries of Eastern Europe

Sir,

Referring to the questionnaire dated 18 July 1994 we would like to inform you as follows:

A.

1. Estonia and Romania.
2. Estonia

In 1992 in many occasions.

Romania

On 15 April, 1993 Interpol Helsinki received a request from the Romanian NCB via the General Secretariat of Interpol.

3. The Police of Estonia and the Interpol Bucharest

4. The Estonian Police requested both material and training assistance.
   The Romanian Police requested assistance in police training.

5. Estonia

In 1992 the Police of Finland delivered to the Police School in Estonia furniture and various equipment to a value of approx. 80 000 FIM.

In the same year the Police of Finland and the Police of Estonia agreed on arranging training courses in Estonia in 1993 by the Finns:

1. Criminal investigation training
   April 19-23
2. Basic Drug Enforcement Seminar
   April 19-23
3. Police tactics and Psychology training
   May 10-14
4. Special Situation Training
   May 10-14
5. Financial Crime Investigation Seminar
   May 10-12

Costs approx. 100 000 FIM

12421/95
Moreover the Police of Finland in 1993-1994:

- Carry out an assistance project by delivering equipment and training to the Interpol Tallinn.
  Costs approx. 100 000 FIM

- Another project was the developing of the level of crime scene investigation. Assistance included basic material, training and a van with special equipment for crime scene investigation.
  Costs approx. 500 000 FIM.

The budget for this year is a million FIM. By that we will give assistance to:

1. Units which investigate the scene of the crime
   - equipment
   Costs approx. 300 000 FIM

2. Development of computer systems
   - equipment
   Costs approx. 400 000 FIM

3. Training which will take place both in Estonia and in Finland
   Costs approx. 225 000 FIM

4. To improve co-operation between police, customs and border control authorities
   - training
   Costs approx. 75 000 FIM
In addition to the usual assistance Finland has allocated 150 000 FIM to the research project "East Criminality". According to the preliminary plan the project will be carried out together with the Russian authorities.

**Romania**

Unfortunately we could not give any assistance.

See item 5.

Finland do not have any co-operation with EU Member States in this context.

If you need further information please contact:

Ministry of the Interior
Police Department /
Kirkkokatu 12
P.O.Box 257
00171 Helsinki
Finland

Yours faithfully,

Deputy National Police Commissioner
FRANCE
RÉPONSE DE LA DELEGATION FRANÇAISE
AU NOUVEAU QUESTIONNAIRE IRLANDAIS SUR
LES ACTIONS BILATÉRALES MENÉES EN FAVOIR
DES PAYS D'EUROPE CENTRALE ET ORIENTALE

En réponse au point 2 du questionnaire irlandais, je vous informe que les demandes d'aide et assistance française, émanant des Pays d'Europe Centrale et Orientale, ont toutes été satisfaites.

S'agissant de la question 5, il n'y a pas eu, à notre connaissance, de demande similaire qui fasse double emploi, formulée auprès d'autres pays membres de l'Union Européenne.

La réponse aux autres questions reste inchangée par rapport au questionnaire précédent.
RESPONSE AU QUESTIONNAIRE DANOIS SUR L'AIDE TECHNIQUE APPORTÉE PAR LA DGPN FRANÇAISE AUX PÔLICES DES PAYS D'EUROPE CENTRALE ET ORIENTALE

1. ARMENIE
   ALBANIE
   BULGARIE
   HONGRIE
   LITUANIE
   ESTONIE
   LETTONIE
   POLOGNE
   REPUBLIQUE TCHÈQUE
   REPUBLIQUE SLOVAQUE
   RUSSIE
   ROMANIE
   OUSBEKISTAN

2. Il s'agit d'une coopération bilatérale institutionnelle commencée en 1990 pour la plupart des pays.

3. Ministère de l'Intérieur (Division des Relations Internationales) pour les pays concernés.

4. Il s'agit d'une coopération technique et opérationnelle qui se développe sous forme de stages en France (formation continue), de visites et de missions d'experts.

5. Coopération technique dans le domaine de : lutte contre le trafic de stupéfiants, sûreté aéroportuaire, contrôle des flux migratoires, lutte contre la criminalité économique et financière (blanchiment d'argent), lutte contre la grande criminalité (crime organisé et de type mafieux), problèmes de sécurité routière, unités spéciales d'intervention (RAID), maintien de l'ordre, formation de formateurs, gestion des ressources humaines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAYS</th>
<th>CRÉDITS ACTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALBANIE</td>
<td>427 200 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMENIE</td>
<td>50 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULGARIE</td>
<td>630 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTONIE</td>
<td>247 700 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONGRIE</td>
<td>2 333 524 F 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETTONIE</td>
<td>286 600 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITUANIE</td>
<td>605 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUSBEKISTAN</td>
<td>270 500 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLOGNE</td>
<td>683 700 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMANIE</td>
<td>805 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIE</td>
<td>250 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPUBLIQUE TCHÈQUE</td>
<td>71 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOVAQUE</td>
<td>200 000 F 00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAUX        | 6 573 616 F 60 |
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7. Pour la première fois, en octobre de cette année, une coopération franco-allemande en matière de lutte contre le trafic de stupéfiants va se dérouler en Pologne au bénéfice de la DGPN polonaise.

Service de Coopération Technique Internationale de Police (S.C.T.I.P.) Tél. [deleted]
Arbeitsgruppe P4

Haustuf 4155

Polizeiliche Zusammenarbeit
hier: Koordinierung der Hilfe für den Aufbau der Polizeikräfte in den Ländern
Mittel- und Osteuropas

Bitte Ihre Bitte um Antwortbogen zu ENFOPOL 11 vom 07.02.95
(Fragebogen des Vorsitz)

zu den im Fragebogen gestellten Fragen ist folgender
Antwortbogen:

zu

Fragen 1 und 2

Es bestehen folgende Koordinierungsmethoden für die Unterstützung von
Polizeien in den MOB-Staaten:

Fachbezogene Nationale Koordinierung (polizeiliche
Unterstützungsprogramme durch die
Bund/Länder-Clearingstelle (BLC)

Seit Dezember 1983 besteht die SUND-LÄNDER-CLEARINGSTELLE (SCL).
Deren Aufgabe ist es, die Koordination zwischen SUND und LÄNDERN für
Programme der Unterstützung ausländischer Polizeien durch Ausbildung und
Austattung herzustellen. Wegen der Sache die bei der Bekämpfung der
grenzüberschreitenden Kriminalität und der Rauschgiftbekämpfung nimmt
auch der Zoll teil.
Ziel ist es, für ein bestimmtes Nehmerland ein abgestimmtes deutsches Programm zu entwickeln und durchzuführen.

Bei diesen Programmen steht die Stärkung der Fähigkeit zur operativen Zusammenarbeit mit den Ländern Mittel-, Ost- und Südosteuropas im Mittelpunkt.

Für zukünftige Projekte ist vorgesehen, integrierte Gesamtprogramme, bestehend aus Schulung und Ausstattungshilfe, durchzuführen, und dabei die direkten bilateralen Kontakte auf Fachebene auszubauen und zu fördern.

Die SUND-LÄNDER-CLEARINGSTELLE beschließt auf ihrer 3. Sitzung am 06.11.84 in Bonn auf die Partnerstaaten bezogene Arbeitsgruppen zu bilden, die gemeinsam die Programme der politischen Ausstattungshilfe planen und arbeitsteilig durchführen. Der Vorsitz der Arbeitsgruppen, die Ausschüsse der Clearingstelle genannt werden, liegt ebenfalls beim BMIAG P 4.

Die Arbeit der ELC wird unterstützt durch den INFGPCC, beim BKA. Hier sind alle Projektanträge des SUNDES und der LÄNDER gespeichert.

Internationale Koordinierung

TASK-FORCE beim United Nations International Drug Control Programm (UNDCP)

Die seit Mai 1983 auf deutsche Initiative eingerichtete Task-Force (TF) beim UNDCP soll eine unter den Gebieten abgestimmte, gemeinsame Strategie und Rahmenplanung für die Stärkung der Rauschgiftbekämpfung in einem Partnerstaat schaffen, um sie zwischen den Gepäckländem, Arbeitsteilig umsetzen zu können.

Die Aufgabe ist auf die Unterstützung der Rauschgiftbekämpfungsbüroden und die Bekämpfung der Organisierten Kriminalität in den MOE-Staaten begrenzt.

Die Task-Force bietet zur Zeit das einzige Forum dieser Art mit der Möglichkeit des Erfahrungsaustausches und der Vorbereitungen von gemeinschaftlichen Projekten ("Joint-Projects").


Eine Ausweitung der Aufgaben in der Koordination der Bekämpfungsbemühungen für die Organisierte Kriminalität stehen im Zusammenhang mit einer erweiterten Themenstellung in der Dublin-Gruppe (Beschluss in der Sitzung am 08.12.94).


Projektbezogene bilaterale Zusammenarbeit

Eine direkte Zusammenarbeit in Form der Durchführung gemeinsamer oder eng miteinander abgestimmter Projekte besteht seitens Deutschland zur Zeit mit

- SCHWEDEN
  in einem laufenden Projekt in Estland und in der Zukunft, potentiell für alle Partnerländer in einem jeweils bilaterale Programme vorgesehen sind

- FRANKREICH

- Großbritannien

zu

Page 3


zu

Page 4


zu

Page 5

Es gab keine besonderen Schwierigkeiten zwischen den Gebern/Veranstaltern.
GREECE
In response to the above mentioned document concerning the questionnaires on Police Cooperation with countries of Central and Eastern Europe, please be advised the following:

- We don't have any other development concerning the subject in question, apart from our answer included in the Doc. 9436/34 INFOPOL 121.

- Also we would like to inform you that our Ministry has concluded bilateral agreement for Police Cooperation with Bulgaria, Albania, Romania, Hungary, Poland and Lithuania.

The contact point for Greece is the SOL, which is located at the Ministry of Public Order, Division of Police International Cooperation.
In response to the document no. 8733/94 ENFOPOL 91 concerning the questionnaire on Police Cooperation with countries of Eastern Europe, please be advised of the following:

a) On 21/6/94 the Ministry of Public Order of our country received a request from the corresponding Ministry of Albania for technical equipment needed by the Albanian Police. Most of the requested items (telecommunication material, two Police buses, radio and photographic material as well as clothing and footwear) were delivered to the Albanian Police on 22/12/92.

b) In the framework of the programme PHARE, the competent service of the General Directorate of Customs, coming under the Ministry of Finance, was assigned to carry out a training course in Bulgaria including seminars and setting up of legislation, regarding the precursor substances for making narcotics. The programme regarding the setting up of legislation has already finished, while the programme of organizing seminars is due to be complete in the next months.
IRELAND
AN GARDA SIOCHANA.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
ADMINISTRATION,
GARDA HEADQUARTERS,
PHOENIX PARK,
DUBLIN 8.

FAX MESSAGE

DATE: 29th August 95  TXE: 12.33

TO: Chief Assistant

FROM: Deputy Commissioner

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS ONE: 1

NOTES:

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Request received in June 1995 from Minister of Interior, Moscow, for assistance with traffic control and regulations.

Arrangements have been made for the visit of three Senior Officials of the State Traffic Inspection from the 13th to 21st September, 1995.
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

BRIEFING DOCUMENT

Memorandum of Understanding signed by Dr. Sandor Pinter, Hungarian Police Commissioner and Mr. Patrick J. Culligan, Garda Commissioner at Garda Headquarters, Phoenix Park, on 13th May, 1994.

It extends over the two years - 1994/96.

Exchanges 1994

(i) The Hungarian Police Commissioner plus two Senior Officers visited Garda Headquarters, 12-14th May, 1994.
(iii) Deputy Commissioner Moran presented paper at International Conference in Szolnok while there.
(iv) Sergeant, Garda College, visited Budapest 15-21st October, 1994 (at Hungarian Police request) to assist in developing English Language Programme.
(v) Two Garda fingerprint experts visited automated fingerprints unit at Szolnok, 29th October - 4th November, 1994.
(vii) Three Senior Hungarian Police Officers visited Dublin, 26th September - 2nd October, 1994, to discuss security issues and ballistics.

Exchanges 1995

(ii) Five Senior Hungarian Officers visited Dublin 13th-19th May, 1995, to discuss organised crime and bomb disposal procedures.

All of the exchanges were done on the basis that the host country would meet all the costs of the visit except air fares.

Draft memorandum of understanding for the years 1996/97 is now being drawn up by Major Andras Fabian on behalf of the Hungarian Police and by Deputy Commissioner, J. Moran on behalf of the Garda Siochana.

It is anticipated that this will be signed by the respective Commissioners before the end of 1995.

The exchanges to date have been found most satisfactory by both sides.
REPUBLIK OF HUNGARY  
NATIONAL POLICE HQ  

REPUBLIK OF IRELAND  
GARDA SIOCHANA  

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

The Commissioner of the Garda Siochana (The Irish Police) agrees with the Commissioner of the National Police of the Republic of Hungary, to continue cooperation between their respective Police Forces, based on the principle of mutual assistance and cooperation.

This agreement incorporates the following initiatives:

1. Mutual exchange of documents concerning education, research, training, information, traffic, licensing, radio-communication and counter-terrorism.

2. Exchange of Staff between the Hungarian and Irish Police Research Institutes.

3. The exchange of Guest Speakers between the Police Training Schools and Colleges in Hungary and in Ireland.

4. The Irish Police offers ten places to Hungarian Police Officers on a six-week English Language Police Cooperation Studies Course at the Garda College, Templemore, Co. Tipperary. This can be availed of in September/October, 1994, or February/March, 1995.

5. Further mutual cooperation/information exchange possibilities will be explored between the two sides during the period 1994-1995, as follows:

5.1 The Hungarian Police receives the delegation of the Irish Police composed of experts of basic and intermediate training.
The Hungarian Police receives the delegation of the Irish Police composed of criminal technical experts in order to demonstrate the finger and palmprint identification system operating at Szolnok County Police Headquarters.

The Hungarian Police receives the delegation of the Irish Police in order to introduce the training at the Dog-handler’s Training School.

The Irish Police receives the delegation of the Hungarian Police to study operating IT Systems.

The Irish Police receives a 3 member delegation of the Hungarian Police led by the Commander of the Special Police Force in order to establish direct cooperation.

Establishing direct cooperation with mutual exchange of delegations between the bomb-disposal and organized crime services of the Irish and Hungarian Police Forces.

The Commissioner of the National Police of the Republic of Hungary and the Commissioner of the Garda Síochána of the Republic of Ireland will have annual meetings alternatively in Budapest and Dublin in order to evaluate the development of cooperation since their last meeting, and determine actual tasks of further cooperation deriving from the trends of international crime and public safety.

It is agreed that the costs of providing food, accommodation and domestic transport during exchanges will be borne by the host country. The costs of air travel will be borne by the sending country.

For arranging and organizing the tasks and visits specified by this Memorandum the International Relations Department of the Hungarian National Police (Phone: 36-1-185-2340, fax: 36-1-106-4111) and the Office of the Irish Police (Phone: 353 677 1156-2130, fax: 353 167 94659) shall be responsible.

This agreement will take effect on the date it is signed by both parties.

This agreement has been prepared at Garda Headquarters, Dublin and issued in duplicate in the English and Hungarian languages on 13th May, 1994.

REPUBLIC OF HUNGARY
NATIONAL POLICE HQ

REPUBLIC OF IRELAND
GARDA SIÔCHÁNA

13 MAY 1994
TO: BDL, DENMARK

FROM: IRELAND

QUESTIONNAIRE

CONCERNING POLICE CO-OPERATION

WITH THE COUNTRIES OF

EASTERN EUROPE

REPLY FROM IRELAND

Q.(A.1) Which countries have requested assistance?

A. Hungary is the only country in Eastern Europe which has requested assistance from Ireland.

Q.(A.2) Date of request received:

A. May, 1952.

Q.(A.3) The Authority or Agency of the Requesting State making the request:

A. Ministry of the Interior requested the assistance.

Q.(A.4) The nature of the request:

A. (i) Language Training

(ii) Exchanges of Staff in the administrative and operational areas for working visits.
Q. (A.5)  The extent to which the request has been met

A. April 1992  Six members of the Hungarian Police visited Garda Headquarters and Garda College for a period of one week to explore means of Police Co-Operation.

June 1992  Deputy Commissioner Garda Síochána addressed an international Police Conference in Budapest and met senior Hungarian Police Officials.


October 1992  Police Research Institute, Budapest, read a paper at a “Safety for Women” Conference in Dublin Castle.

December 1992  A six person delegation from the Hungarian Police Force made a five day working visit to Ireland where they had discussions with Garda Training College and other operational staff at Garda Headquarters.

February 1993  English for Specific Purposes Course for 10 Hungarian Officers at Garda College, Templemore for a period of six weeks.

October, 1993  English Language Training Course for three Hungarian Police Officers at Garda College, Templemore for a period of nine weeks.

Contacts are ongoing with a view to developing the links already established.

Q. (A.6)  An estimate of the costs of having met individual requests

A. Estimated Cost: £20,000
Q.(A.7) Has assistance been provided in co-operation with other E.U. Member States?

A. No.

Q.(B) Name of contact point/person who will be able to provide further information of assistance to Eastern European Countries.


Tel. No. [DELETED]

Contact Person: [DELETED]
QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE RECONSTITUTION OF THE POLICE SERVICES OF EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

In connection with message 224/33/459/2105/R, dated 22/4/94, we are forwarding the following additional information. In the framework of initiatives envisaged by Act 212, dated 26/2/92, the following supplies have also been carried out, in favour of Albania:

- contract number 25240, dated 15/3/93, IBM SEMEA - 2,349,060,000 Lit. plus VAT: intelligence telematic network among Countries involved in the fight against drug trafficking;

- contract number 25238, dated 16/3/93, OLIVETTI - 4,725,312,125 Lit. plus VAT: establishment of a computerized system for Albania;

- contract number 25239, dated 16/3/93, MARCONI - 4,237,000,000 Lit. plus VAT: telecommunication network for Albanian Police Forces.

Furthermore the establishment of a Data Transmission connection between Rome and Ankara is envisaged, whose cost is still to be determined (the Italian Ministry of the Interior and the Turkish Ministry of Post are discussing the terms of the commercial agreement).

The Director of the Office for Police Forces Coordination and Planning PICCOLELLA.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AU / TO</th>
<th>BDL IRELAND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TREVI / JAI N°</td>
<td>08/121/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>3- Oct-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASSIFICATION</td>
<td>CONFIDENTIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URGENCE / PRECEDENCE</td>
<td>IMMEDIATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE DELAI / DEADLINE</td>
<td>PAGES 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFERENCE</td>
<td>Your 14/063/95 resp. 14/043/95 Our 08/088/95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| OBJET / SUBJECT | GD II - Police Cooperation Group  
Questionnaire  
relating to the assistance provided to the Police Services of Central and Eastern European States  
- Repsonse from the luxembourghish delegation - |

**TEXTE:**

Please find in annex a copy of our initial response dated July, 13th relating to the a.m. subject.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>AU / TO</strong></th>
<th>BDL IRELAND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TREVI / JAI N°</strong></td>
<td>08/088/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATE</strong></td>
<td>3-Oct-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLASSIFICATION</strong></td>
<td>CONFIDENTIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>URGENCE / PRECEDENCE</strong></td>
<td>ROUTINE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DATE DELAI / DEADLINE</strong></td>
<td>(31.07.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAGES</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REFERENCE</strong></td>
<td>Your N° 14/046/95 dated 06.07.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBJET / SUBJECT</strong></td>
<td>Questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the Police Services of Central and Eastern European States.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEXTE:**

Our answers to all the questions are

NONE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM:</th>
<th>TREVI BDL IN THE NETHERLANDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO:</td>
<td>TREVI BDL Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREVI NR:</td>
<td>09/07/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE:</td>
<td>13/10/1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREVI CLASS:</td>
<td>RESTRICTED CONFIDENTIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRECEDENCE:</td>
<td>ROUTINE PRIORITY IMMEDIATE FLASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPLY REQUESTED BY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REF:</td>
<td>Your 14/63/95 or 36/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT:</td>
<td>TREVI WG comm. pol. Answer Questionnaire The Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMARKS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>3 PAGES INCL. COVERSHEET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME SENT:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In case of any problems with this fax please contact:
By telephone: [DELETED]
By fax: [DELETED]
TO : BDL Ireland
FROM : BDL The Netherlands

DATE : 
No. : 

Subject: Questionnaire relating to assistance provided to the Police Services of Central and Eastern European States (EU/JAI nr. 14/063/9).

1.1. The Netherlands police, united in the Interdepartmental Coordination Group for International Police Cooperation (ICIPS) and the Board of Chief Constables (RHC) did receive three serious requests for assistance from Central and Eastern European States in 1995:

1. Estonia.
2. Lithuania.

1.2. 1. August 1995.

1.3. 1. From the Estonian National Police Director.
2. From the Lithuanian Police (Ministry).

1.4d 1. Assistance in general, to be specified, with building a police force in a democratic state functioning according to the Rule of Law.

2. Assistance on specific matters concerning police training, transfer of information.

2.1. The Board of Chief Constables initiated Police Partnership Programmes in three countries:

- Hungary 24 partnerships (1994 - 1997),
- Poland 4 partnerships (1995 - 1998),
- Czech Republic 5 partnerships (1996 - 1998),

Also in Poland 5 expert conferences were realised; in the Czech Republic the Netherlands National police training institute started a 2-year project on management training.

2.2. A Police Partnership is a structural two or three year cooperation project between regional police forces or police schools. Basis is an agreement between the two chiefs of police. Within those partnerships almost anything is possible, on request of one of the chiefs, e.g. transfer of best practice and experience, exchange of personnel, language, management or other training, specialist projects, to be mutually decided on.

2.3. A request of the Moldovian Police Academy was passed on to the LSOP (national police training and selection institute).
3. Total about 800,000 Dutch guilders in 1995.

4. No.

5. No.

   Phone: _DELETED_
   Fax: _DELETED_

P.M. The Netherlands police chooses a structural approach of the requests for assistance (to be related to exclusively as cooperation), mostly in the form of Police Partnerships on the Force level. A light form of (financial) administration by the Board of Chief Constables and regular exchange of experience and views between representatives of the participating police forces will lead to a shared approach of this form of cooperation.

Information: Dutch Board of Chief Constables. Fax +31 - 79 - 3296465.
PORTUGAL
**FROM:** BDL PORTUGAL  
**TO:** BDL IRELAND  
**FILE NR:** 21/073/95, DGRE Nº322/95  
**DATE:**  
**CLASSIFICATION:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**PRECEDENCE:** IMMEDIATE  
**REFERENCE:** Your Msg. 14/063/95 of 03/10/95  

**SUBJECT:** Assistance to Central and Eastern European States. 
We may inform that during the last period, we had no requests of assistance.

**NOTES:**

| TOTAL NR. PAGES: | 01 |
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TO: MINISTERIO DE JUSTICIA E INTERIOR
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ASUNTO: REPLIES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID TO CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. (Respuesta al Cuestionario sobre asistencia a los Países de Centro y Este de Europa)

TEXTO:

NUMERO DE PAGINAS: 2 + CARTUJA

TELEFAX REMITENTE: (322) 5111023 / (322) 5112830 / (322) 5115108.
EN CASO DE PROBLEMAS TELEFONEESE AL NUMERO: (322) 5036884.

TRANSMITASE
DELETED

REPR. PERM. ESPAÑA C/E.E.
BRUSELAS
SALIDA
Fecha 17 -11- 1995
B.2.1. VARIOS

NUM. TELEFONEA: 2051

REP: 12421/95
WF/af

EN
RESPUESTA AL CUESTIONARIO SOBRE ASISTENCIA A LOS PAÍSES DE CENTRO Y ESTE DE EUROPA.

1. De los braza teus de peticiones de ayuda que su país haya recibido de los PECOS. Sobre todo se pide información de lo siguiente:

1.1. Qué países han pedido: No consta

1.2. Fecha en que se recibió la petición: No consta

1.3. Qué autoridades: No consta

1.4. Naturaleza de la ayuda pedida:
   a) equipo?: No consta
   b) formación y su clase?: No consta
   c) ayuda económica?: No consta
   d) otra clase de ayuda?: No consta

2. Detalla, por favor, la respuesta de su país a las peticiones de los PECOS. Sobre todo se pide información sobre:

2.1. Se han llevado a cabo en su totalidad estas ayudas?: No procede.

2.2. Parcialmente?: No procede

2.3. Se han rechazado?: No procede

3. Haga un cálculo del coste económico para su país de las ayudas concedidas: No procede.
REPLIES TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID TO CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

1. Give a brief summary of petitions of aid made by countries of Central and Eastern Europe to your country. We are particularly interested in the following points:

1.1. Countries that requested aid: Unknown.

1.2. Date of reception of the petition: Unknown.

1.3. Authority that made the request: Unknown.

1.4. Type of aid requested

   a) Equipment?: Unknown.


   c) Financial aid?: Unknown.

   d) Other type of aid? Unknown.

2. Please state the response given by your country to petitions made by Central and Eastern European countries. We are particularly interested in the following points:

2.1. Have these petitions of aid been totally satisfied?: Irrelevant.

2.2. Partially?: Irrelevant.

2.3. Were they rejected?: Irrelevant.

Ass. Comm.  

BDL Sweden, Det. Supt.

Date 20 Nov. 1995  Pages 2 (this page excluded)

1 Please contact me  ☑ For your information
1 Urgent          ☑ As per agreement
1 Please confirm  ☐ For your handling

Notes

In case of disturbances please call [DELETED] (office hours)
or [DELETED] (outside office hours)
Re: Questionnaire relating to the assistance provided to the police services of Central and Eastern European states

1.1 Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Belorussia, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania and Albania.

1.2 Requests have been made on various levels and occasions, direct and indirect, and it is therefore impossible to determine specific dates.

1.3 Police and Border Guard/Border Police (the latter only when organised within the Ministry of Interior).

1.4. a. Yes.

1.4. b. Basic police service, forensic science, ethics, investigation techniques, management and traffic police duties.

1.4. c. The Swedish National Police Board has as a policy never to hand over cash means.

1.4. d. No.

2. All police assistance is based on bilateral agreements after negotiations between the Swedish National Police Board and the respectively high authorities of the receiving country. Consequently, requests are seldom fully met and as well as some are totally rejected.

3. On 1 July 1995 the Swedish police had used a total amount of SEK 17.1 million (approximately ECU 1.9 million) for various assistance.

4. With Germany, Finland and Denmark (also with the non-EU-members Norway and UNDCP).
5. Continuously. That is one of the problems and also the reason why the contributing states and organisations have to meet regularly for co-ordination.

5.1. It is a well known fact that the authorities in the receiving countries are very keen on distributing the same lists to several countries regarding requested assistance.

5.2. We believe that all of those countries who have been involved in assistance-projects have received similar requests.

5.3. All kinds.

5.4. It is known that sometimes duplications in assistance, especially in know-how and techniques, have been conducted.

6. Mr (co-ordinator) telephone number or Ms (assistant co-ordinator) telephone number (common fax number). Please find enclosed a list (six pages) over the Swedish bilateral Police assistance programme up to 1 July 1995.

On behalf of the SWEDISH NATIONAL POLICE BOARD

Assistant Co-ordinator
ASSISTANCE TO COUNTRIES OF CENTRAL AND EASTERN EUROPE

The assistance provided to police forces in central and eastern Europe is financed by the British Government's Know How Fund, Britain's programme of bilateral technical assistance to the region. The individual programmes are administered on behalf of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) by specific UK police forces. The programmes' details are agreed annually following discussions between the relevant countries' police officers and Government officials, the FCO and the appropriate UK police advisers. Requests are amended as necessary according to the circumstances.

Assistance in all countries takes the form of training visits by UK police officers and experts from related fields to the region and/or training in the UK for central and eastern European police officers. It involves the transfer of knowledge rather than equipment. There has not been any cooperation with other EU Member States although our police advisers are often aware of other states' programmes.

CENTRAL EUROPE

Poland (1990 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Polish Police and administered by a retired UK police officer.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel training at all levels in organizational and management systems; policing methods; economic/organised crime; crime surveillance and prevention; forensic science; serious crime; emergency planning; police dogs and mounted horses; criminal justice.

Current annual cost: £150,000

Hungary (1990 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Hungarian National Police and administered by the Merseyside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel training at all levels; policing methods; crime prevention; fraud-related crime; serious crime; computer skills; public safety and public order; domestic violence; English language training.

Current annual cost: £50,000
Bulgaria (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Bulgarian Police and administered by the Metropolitan and Gwent Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel training and career development; organised crime and money laundering; drug-related crime; forensic science; operations/crime; criminal justice; public order and traffic management; public/press relations; criminal justice; community and race relations.

Current annual cost: £100,000

Romania (1992 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Romanian Police and administered by the Devon and Cornwall Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel training; organised crime and money laundering; forensic science; accident prevention and traffic management; youth justice, sexual offences and child abuse.

Current annual cost: £50,000

Czech Republic (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Czech National Police and administered by the Humberside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel training; career development and financial management; crime prevention; drug-related issues; organised crime; policing methods; mounted police; white collar crime.

Current annual cost: £50,000

Slovak Republic (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Slovak Police and administered by the Humberside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personnel recruitment, training and career development; organised crime and money laundering; policing methods; crime prevention; community policing.

Current annual cost: £80,000
Slovenia

Assistance has been requested and is being considered.


Assistance requested by the Albanian Police and administered by the Essex Police.

Programme has included assistance in the following areas: criminal justice; policing methods; crime investigation; economic crime.

No programme planned for 1995/96.

BALTIC STATES

Estonia (1992 - ongoing)

Assistance requested from the Estonian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: upgrading of instructional skills in leadership training; relations with the media; study of criminal investigation techniques; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching techniques. Needs assessment for 1995/96 currently underway.

Current annual cost: £33,600

Latvia (1992 - ongoing)

Assistance requested from the Latvian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: criminal intelligence; forensic study; explosives training; traffic law enforcement; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching techniques. Needs assessment for 1995/96 currently underway.

Current annual cost: £43,200

Lithuania (1992 - ongoing)

Assistance requested for the Lithuanian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: traffic law enforcement; police driver training; forensic science techniques; criminal investigation techniques; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching

Current annual cost: £32,000

FORMER SOVIET UNION (INCLUDING RUSSIA)

Russia (1994 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Russian Police and militia and administered by the Metropolitan Police. Links have also been established between the police forces in Hendon and St Peters burg, Northamptonshire and Pem, and Hart fordshire and Novgorod.

Programme is currently being developed and projects to date have included fraud and money laundering; training; community policing.

Current annual cost: £100,000

Azerbaijan } Assistance has been requested and is being
Belarus } considered.

Further information about our police assistance programmes is available from:

- Central Europe including Baltic States
  Foreign and Commonwealth Office

- Former Soviet Union
  Foreign and Commonwealth Office

Know How Fund
July 1995
QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING POLICE CO-OPERATION WITH THE COUNTRIES OF EASTERN EUROPE

A. The assistance provided to police forces in central and eastern Europe is financed by the British Government's Know How Fund, Britain's programme of bilateral technical assistance to the region. The individual programmes are administered on behalf of the Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) by specific UK police forces. The programmes' details are agreed annually following discussions between the relevant countries' police officers and Government officials, the FCO and the appropriate UK police advisers. Requests are amended as necessary according to the circumstances.

Assistance in all countries takes the form of training visits by UK police officers and experts from related fields to the region and/or training in the UK for central and eastern European police officers. It involves the transfer of knowledge rather than equipment. There has not been any cooperation with other EU Member States although our police advisers are often aware of other states' programmes.

Central Europe

Poland (1990 - ongoing)
Assistance requested by the Polish Police and administered by a retired UK police officer.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal training at all levels in organisational and management systems; policing methods; economic/organised crime; crime investigation and prevention; forensic science; serious crime; emergency planning; police dogs and mounted horses; criminal justice.

Current annual cost: £150,000.

Hungary (1990 - ongoing)
Assistance requested by the Hungarian National Police and administered by the Merseyside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal training at all levels; policing methods; crime prevention; fraud-related crime; serious crime; computer skills; public safety and public order; domestic violence; English language training.

Current annual cost: £60,000.
Bulgaria (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Bulgarian Police and administered by the Metropolitan and Great Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal training and career development; organised crime and money laundering; drugs-related crime; forensic science; operations/crime; criminal justice; public order and traffic management; public/press relations; criminal justice; community and race relations.

Current annual cost: £110,000.

Romania (1993 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Romanian Police and administered by the Devon and Cornwall Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal training; organised crime and money laundering; forensic science; incident prevention and traffic management; youth justice; sexual offences and child abuse.

Current annual cost: £35,000

Czech Republic (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Czech National Police and administered by the Humberside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal training; career development and financial management; crime prevention; drugs-related issues; organised crime; policing methods; mounted police; white collar crime.

Current annual cost: £30,000

Slovak Republic (1991 - ongoing)

Assistance requested by the Slovak Police and administered by the Humberside Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: personal recruitment, training and career development; organised crime and money laundering; policing methods; crime prevention; community policing.

Current annual cost: £30,000
Albania (1992 - ongoing)
Assistance requested by the Albanian Police and administered by the Essex Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: criminal justice; policing methods; crime investigation; economic crime.

No programme planned for 1994/95.

Baltic States

Estonia (1992 - ongoing)
Assistance requested from the Estonian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: upgrading of instructional skills in leadership training; relations with the media; study of criminal investigation techniques; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching techniques.

Current annual cost: £13,950.

Latvia (1992 - ongoing)
Assistance requested from the Latvian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: criminal intelligence; forensic study; explosive training; traffic law enforcement; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching techniques.

Current annual cost: £43,200.

Lithuania (1992 - ongoing)
Assistance requested for the Lithuanian Government and administered by Strathclyde Police.

Programme includes assistance in the following areas: traffic law enforcement; police driver training; forensic science techniques; criminal investigation techniques; attachment to Scottish Police College for teaching techniques; management training for senior police officers.

Current annual cost: £11,000.
Former Soviet Union (including Russia)

Russia (1994 - ongoing)
Assistance requested by the Russian Police and militia and administered by the Metropolitan Police.

Programme is currently being developed and projects to date have included fraud and money laundering, training, community policing.

Australia: Assistance has been requested and is being evaluated.

Further information about our police assistance programme is available from:

- Central Europe
  Ms Judi Leach
  Joint Assistance Unit (CB)
  Foreign and Commonwealth Office
  London SW1 1AA
  (071 210 0032)

- Baltic States
  Ms John Hum
  Joint Assistance Unit (E)
  Foreign and Commonwealth Office
  London SW1 1AA
  (071 210 0015)

- Former Soviet Union
  Ms Rosa Valdez
  Joint Assistance Unit (E)
  Foreign and Commonwealth Office
  London SW1 1AA
  (071 210 0034)

Joint Assistance Unit
6 August 1994

______________________________

12421/95

WF/af

EN